Chapter 13 In: *Pollen Biotechnology for Crop Production and Improvement* (Ed. V. K. Sawhney & K. R. Shivanna)

METHODS FOR OVERCOMING INTERSPECIFIC CROSSING BARRIERS

J. M. Van Tuyl¹ & M. J. De Jeu²

1. Centre for Plant Breeding and Reproduction Research (CPRO-DLO), P.O. Box 16, NL-6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands

2. Department of Plant Breeding, Wageningen Agricultural University (WAU), P.O. Box 386, NL-6700 AJ Wageningen, The Netherlands

METHODS FOR OVERCOMING INTERSPECIFIC CROSSING BARRIERS

J. M. Van Tuyl¹ & M. J. De Jeu²

1. Centre for Plant Breeding and Reproduction Research (CPRO-DLO), P.O. Box 16, NL-6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands

2. Department of Plant Breeding, Wageningen Agricultural University (WAU), P.O. Box 386, NL-6700 AJ Wageningen, The Netherlands

SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

TECHNIQUES FOR OVERCOMING STIGMATAL AND STYLAR BARRIERS

Genetic variation in interspecific crossability Use of mixed and mentor pollen Influence of environmental conditions Style and ovary manipulations Chemical treatments

TECHNIQUES FOR OVERCOMING POST-FERTILIZATION BARRIERS

Ovary culture and ovary-slice culture Ovule culture Embryo culture

INTEGRATED TECHNIQUES FOR OVERCOMING PRE- AND POST-FERTILIZATION BARRIERS

TECHNIQUES FOR OVERCOMING F1-STERILITY

Chromosome doubling Application of 2n-gametes

CONCLUDING REMARKS

REFERENCES

METHODS FOR OVERCOMING INTERSPECIFIC CROSSING BARRIERS

J. M. Van Tuyl¹ & M. J. De Jeu²

SUMMARY

Crossing barriers occur frequently when intra- or interspecific crosses are attempted. These barriers are the result of incompatibility and incongruity. Sexual barriers preventing interspecific hybridization have been distinguished into pre- and post-fertilization barriers. The nature of the barrier determines the method to be used to overcome the specific barrier. A range of techniques such as bud pollination, stump pollination, use of mentor pollen and grafting of the style have been applied successfully to overcome pre-fertilization barriers. *In vitro* methods in the form of ovary, ovary slice, ovule and embryo culture are being used to overcome post-fertilization blocks which cause endosperm failure and embryo abortion. An integrated method of *in vitro* pollination and fertilization followed by embryo rescue has been applied in many crosses. Vital hybrid plants may display lack of flowering or male and female sterility resulting in failure of sexual reproduction. If sterility is caused due to lack of chromosome pairing during meiosis, fertility may be restored by polyploidization, enabling pairing of homologous chromosomes in the allopolyploid hybrid. Integration of these techniques to the breeding program would enable the breeder to introgress genes across the species barriers.

INTRODUCTION

The phenomena underlying crossing barriers are incompatibility and incongruity. Incompatibility operates in intraspecific crosses and is the result of the activity of S-alleles. Incongruity occurs in interspecific crosses as a result of a lack of genetic information in one partner to complete pre- and post-pollination processes in the other (Hogenboom 1973). This chapter focuses on different methods used to overcome incongruity.

Interspecific and intergeneric crosses are made to introduce new genetic variation into cultivated plants. In breeding ornamental crops, interspecific hybridization is the most important source of genetic variation. Many of the cultivars have originated from complex species crosses which have given rise to a broad range of shapes and colours to plants and flowers (Ohri and Khoshoo 1983; Van Eijk *et al.* 1991; Ramanna 1992; Van Creij *et al.* 1993). Many examples in this chapter are chosen from research on ornamental plants especially *Lilium*, because lily is a model plant for style manipulations and *in vitro* culture methods. For an overview of the entire field of reproductive barriers the reader may refer to reviews by Frankel and Galun (1977), Raghavan and

Srivastava (1982), Shivanna (1982), Sastri (1985), Williams *et al.* (1987), Khush and Brar (1992), Liedl and Anderson (1993) and Pickersgill (1993).

The sexual barriers hampering interspecific hybridization have been distinguished into preand post-fertilization barriers (Stebbins 1958). Many studies deal with methods for overcoming pre-fertilization barriers. Once fertilization has occurred, hybrid embryo growth may be restricted by post-fertilization barriers. Both embryo and endosperm have to develop an equilibrium for sharing nutrients in an undisturbed developmental process. In general the first division of the zygote is delayed to favour the first division cycles of the endosperm cells. When the equilibrium in the development of the zygote and endosperm is disturbed an abortion of the young embryo or disintegration of endosperm follows. This abortion can take place in various stages of development of the young seed. Depending on the stage of embryo abortion various *in vitro* techniques can be applied to rescue the abortive embryo.

Crossability barriers imposed by temporal and spacial isolation of the parents (see Chapter ...) can be effectively overcome through pollen storage. As pollen storage is discussed in detail in Chapter ..., it is not covered here.

TECHNIQUES FOR OVERCOMING STIGMATAL AND STYLAR BARRIERS

Genetic variation in interspecific crossability

'The statement, that two species are not crossable, is controversial unless a broad genetic variation of the parental species has been used and the cross combinations have been carried out on a large scale under a wide range of environmental conditions'. This quotation of Hermsen (1984a) implies that crossability is determined both by genetic and environmental factors. It is therefore necessary to test different accessions of both the parents for hybridization programs.

Unilateral incongruity is the phenomenon that a cross is successful only in one direction, whereas the reciprocal cross fails. In lily, crossing barriers can be overcome using cut-style pollination, but mostly in one cross direction only (Van Creij *et al.* 1993). In interspecific crosses between *Sorghum* and maize Laurie and Bennett (1989) found the variation of pollen tube inhibition to be due to one single gene.

Use of mixed and mentor pollen

The use of mixed pollen i.e. mixture of compatible and incompatible pollen (Brown and Adiwilaga 1991) and mentor pollen, i.e. compatible pollen genetically inactivated by irradiation but still capable to germination, is reported to overcome inhibition in the style in many plant species, when used together with incongruous pollen (see chapter 14 of M. Villar on the mentor pollen technique). For lily, mentor pollen was effective in overcoming self incompatibility but not in interspecific crosses (Van Tuyl *et al.* 1982).

Influence of environmental conditions

The presence of the optimal level of receptivity of the stigma can vary from several hours (in mango) to more than one week (in lily). It determines the optimal time of pollination.

A positive effect of high temperature in overcoming self incompatibility and incongruity has been detected and applied in breeding programmes of lily by heating the style (Ascher and Peloquin 1968) or by pollinating at high temperatures (Van Tuyl *et al.* 1982; Okazaki and Murakami 1992). Probably heat-sensitive inhibitors of pollen tube growth are inactivated. Comparable effects of floral aging on pollen tube growth are reported by Ascher and Peloquin (1966).

Style and ovary manipulations

As it was firstly demonstrated more than 60 years ago in Datura (Blakeslee 1945), pollen

tube growth inhibition in the style can be overcome using different pollination techniques in which style and ovary are manipulated (Fritillaria: Wietsma et al. 1994; Lilium: Myodo 1963; Van Tuyl et al. 1988, 1991; Janson et al. 1993; Lathyrus: Davies 1957; Herrick et al. 1993; Nicotiana: Swaminathan and Murti 1957). One of these manipulations involves removal of the stigma and a part or whole of the style and pollinating the cut end. This is referred as stump pollination or 'cutstyle', 'intrastylar' or 'amputated-style' pollination. In lily two types of incongruity are distinguished based on the arrest of pollen tube growth at different levels of the style. In the first type the site of arrest of pollen tube growth is just below the stigma. This 'upper-inhibition' occurs 12 to 24 h after pollination and results in short pollen tubes. In the other type, pollen tube growth ceases halfway in the style 3 to 4 days after pollination. This 'lower-inhibition' results in medium sized pollen tubes (Asano 1980b 1985). In a comparison of several pollination methods, it was shown that prefertilization barriers in lily can be circumvented by using the cut-style technique (Van Tuyl et al. 1991; Janson et al. 1993). Following stump pollination, many pollen tubes of e.g. lily, Lathyrus and *Fritillaria* grow normally into the ovary. In this way pollen circumvents stylar and stigmatal barriers which can inhibit pollen tube growth. However, a complication associated with this method in lily is the low seed set, probably caused by the premature arrival of pollen tubes in the ovary (Janson et al. 1993). A majority of the pollen tubes either grow past the inner integument or did grow along but not into the micropyle after cut-style pollination. Activation of the ovary by a pre-pollination preceding intrastylar or placental pollination, did not result in an increase of the percentage of ovule penetration. The percentage of ovule penetration after cut-style pollination did increase when a longer part of the style was retained on the ovary (Fig. 1). Despite the low seed set, a large number of unique interspecific lily hybrids was obtained using this method (Asano and Myodo 1977; Asano 1980a; Okazaki et al. 1992; Van Creij et al. 1993). Also, in crosses between Fritillaria imperialis and F. raddeana Wietsma et al. (1994) were able to obtain interspecific hybrids using the cut-style technique.

The stylar graft technique was applied successfully in order to improve the cut-style technique. (Van Tuyl *et al.* 1991). In this method, pollen grains are deposited on a compatible stigma. After one day, the style of the pollen donor is cut 1-2 mm above the ovary and grafted on the ovary of another plant. Style and stigma are joined *in vivo* using a piece of a straw filled with *L. longiflorum* stigmatic exudate or are stuck together with only the exudate. *In vitro* a piece of 'water agar' was placed on the style (Fig. 2ab). Table 1 shows the effect of different pollination methods on average seed set. It can be observed that cut-style pollinations result in a low seed set, whereas the grafted-style method gives a better seed set, but was not successful in a high percentage of the pollinated ovaries.

Chemical treatments

Application of growth regulators, such as auxins, cytokinins and gibberellins to the pedicel or the ovary at the time of or soon after pollination may improve fruit and seed set after interspecific pollination (Emsweller and Stuart 1948; Dionne 1958; Al Yasiri and Coyne 1964; Pittarelli and Stavely 1975). Application of growth regulators to delay abscission of the style and show positive effects on the development of young fruits (Kruse 1974; Larter and Chaubey 1965; Islam et al. 1975; Fedak 1978; Alonso and Kimber 1980; Mujeeb-Kazi 1981). In many crosses, application of growth substances promote post-pollination development up to a stage when hybrid embryos can be excised and cultured (Islam 1980; Subrahmanyam 1979; Sastri et al. 1981, 1983). In interspecific crosses of *Populus*, treatment of the stigma with organic solvents such as hexane and ethyl acetate before pollination has been reported to be effective in overcoming prefertilization barriers (Willing and Pryor 1976). Also immunosuppressors such as amino-n-caproic acid, salicylic acid and acriflavin have been used to produce wide hybrids in many cereals (Baker et al. 1975; Tiara and Larter 1977; Bates et al. 1979; Mujeeb-Kazi and Rodriguez 1980; Mujeeb-Kazi 1981) and legumes (Baker et al. 1975; Chen et al. 1978) The female parent is treated with immunosuppressors before and/or after pollination for many days and the resulting embryo is cultured on a suitable medium. It has been suggested (Bates and Deyoe 1973) that crossability barriers between distantly related taxa are mediated through a specific inhibition reaction analogous to immunochemical mechanism of animals; treatment with immunosuppressors inactivates these immunochemical reactions. However, there is so far no evidence to indicate the involvement of immunochemical reactions in interspecific crosses.

TECHNIQUES FOR OVERCOMING POST-FERTILIZATION BARRIERS

A range of *in vitro* methods have been developed to overcome post-fertilization barriers in a number of plant species. When abortion occurs in a very young stage and maternal tissue has no negative influence on the development of seeds, ovary culture can be applied: young fruits can be grown *in vitro* to a stage at which dissection of embryos is possible. In some crops, the ovary is large and slicing the ovary in small parts and influencing them, is a better option for rescuing the young seedlings *in vitro*. This technique is referred to as 'ovary slice culture'. When the mismatch between embryo and endosperm development starts very early and ovary culture and/or ovary slice culture fails, ovules can be dissected out of the ovaries and be cultured *in vitro*. If young fruits can stay for a longer time on the mother plant, embryo rescue can be applied by different methods: *in ovulo* rescue and embryo rescue. Since Hännig (1904) employed embryo culture for the first time 90 years ago, these techniques are applied in numerous crops (Williams *et al.* 1987).

Ovary culture and ovary-slice culture

Ovary culture has been applied in many species: *Brassica* (Inomata 1980; Gundimeda et al. 1992; Kerlan *et al.* 1992; Sarla and Raut 1988; Takeshita *et al.* 1980), *Eruca-Brassica* hybrids (Agnihotri *et al.* 1990), *Lilium, Nerine* and *Tulipa*, (Van Tuyl *et al.* 1993) and *Phaseolus* (Sabja *et al.* 1990). Ovary-slice culture was applied by Kanoh *et al.*(1988), Straathof *et al.* (1987) and Van Tuyl *et al.* (1991) for the production of interspecific *Lilium* hybrids. Ovaries were harvested 7-40 days after intrastylar pollination and, after surface sterilising, sliced into 2 mm thick disks. Seed germination occurred 30-150 DAP. By this method plantlets were obtained from very small embryos.

Ovule culture

In those crops in which the fruit is aborted before embryo culture can be applied, ovule culture is an easy and fast method. This technique is applied in Alstroemeria (Bridgen et al. 1989), Cyclamen (Ishizaka and Uematsu 1992), Lycopersicon (Neal and Topoleski 1985), Nicotiana (Iwai et al. 1986) and Vitis (Gray et al. 1990). The production of interspecific hybrids in Alstroemeria will be discussed as an example. In Alstroemeria fertilization occurs 24 hours after pollination (De Jeu et al. 1992). Ovaries are harvested 2 DAP, the ovules are dissected and placed on an MS medium containing 9 % sucrose. Six weeks later the ovules are transferred to an MS medium with 4-5 % sucrose. Germination of the ovules starts 1-2 weeks later (De Jeu et al. 1992). Histological studies of the in vitro cultured ovules revealed, that the ovules within the first two weeks of culture enlarged to twice their size due to proliferation of the inner and outer integuments. No development of cellular endosperm in vitro was found, whereas the embryo cells divided almost normally (Fig. 3A). By 42 DAP, the first plantlets arose from the cultured ovules (Figs. 3BC) whereas normal seed development in Alstroemeria takes 21/2-3 months (De Jeu 1992). Depending on the genotypic combination of the interspecific crossing, the percentage of seedlings obtained from ovule culture varied from 0.5-22.5%, whereas in the *in vivo* situation on the plant no seeds could be harvested.

Monnier and Lagriffol (1985) compared the growth of *Capsella* embryos when cultivated either *in vitro* within ovules, or individually on a medium or *in vivo*. They concluded that an embryo grown *in vivo* was more differentiated than that arised from *in vitro* culture. Embryos from *in ovulo*

culture (ovule culture) had a better growth and survival than the individually cultivated embryos.

Interspecific hybrids between *Nicotiana tabacum x Nicotiana acuminata* were obtained through ovule culture in a liquid Nitsch H medium (Nitsch and Nitsch 1969) after incubating the ovules 2-10 DAP (Iwai *et al.* 1986). Ovules from 4-6 DAP onwards gave a good germination rate, younger ovules died. In *Zea mays* Campenot *et al.* (1992) isolated from 1 DAP ovules of isolated embryo sacs that were partially surrounded by nucellar tissue and contained a zygote and a few endosperm nuclei, and cultured them *in vitro*. They achieved germination of the embryos from 7 DAP onwards using basic media. Modification of the basic medium by the addition of the growth regulator BAP resulted in germination of 1 DAP zygotes. Through this method a zygote resulting from an *in vitro* fertilization as described by Kranz (Chapter 14) could be developed into a mature plant (Campenot *et al.* 1992).

Embryo culture

Embryo culture can be applied successfully in crosses in which pollinated flowers can stay on the plant for a notable time, before natural abscission occurs. This method has been applied in a large number of crops (see Raghavan 1986 for details). Some recent examples are: *Allium* (Nomura and Oosawa 1990), *Alstroemeria* (Buitendijk *et al.* 1992), *Freesia* (Reiser and Ziessler 1989), *Howea* (Moura and Carneiro 1992), *Lilium* (Van Tuyl *et al.* 1991), *Lycopersicon* (Imanishi 1988) and *Solanum* (Singsit and Hanneman 1991).

To overcome the problems involved in isolating the young embryos from ovules and providing suitable conditions for their growth, embryo culture has been modified in some systems (Harberd 1969; Buitendijk et al. 1992). The ovule is cut in half and the cut halves, or only the halves containing the embryo, are cultured in a liquid medium. Out of these half ovules germinating embryos emerged, which could be raised to plantlets on a solid medium.

A large number of interspecific and intergeneric hybrids have been produced in *Brassica* through sequential culture of ovary, ovule and often embryo (Nanda Kumar et al. 1988; Agnihotri et al. 1990; Gundimeda et al. 1992). In sequential culture, ovaries are initially cultured for 6-10 days. Enlarged ovules are excised from cultured ovaries and recultured on a fresh medium. Hybrids are realized either directly from cultured ovules or after excising and culturing the embryos. Przywara et al. (1989) followed sequential culturing of ovules and embryos to raise hybrids between *Trifolium repens* and *T. hybridum*.

In interspecific hybridization of *Phaseolus vulgaris x P. acutifolius* (Sabja *et al.* 1990) pods were collected from 10 days after pollination (DAP) and cultured upright in a modified liquid Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Murashige and Skoog 1962) supported by glasswool. In the

in vivo situation, the hybrid embryos stopped growth by 21 DAP and soon thereafter the pods abscise. The ovary culture resulted in the development of small and weak embryos; 90 % of them survived when they were excised and cultured. Only the ovaries from 14 DAP and older gave viable hybrid plants (Sabja *et al.* 1990). In this example a combination of ovary culture and embryo culture is used. In most cases ovary culture is applied during the growth of small undifferentiated embryo inside the ovule, and the differentiated embryo is taken out and cultured.

In many of the distant crosses, the number of hybrids realized are rather limited. However, hybrids can be multiplied through in vitro culture techniques (Agnihotri et al. 1990; Nanda Kumar and Shivanna 1991; Chen and Adachi 1992). In some hybrids embryonal callus and subsequent differentiation of hybrid plantlets has been achieved. In others, culture of single node segments or shoot tips have been used to propagate the hybrid. The use of embryo callus for multiplication of hybrid is also of importance for induction of variations in the hybrid and for experiments on genetic transformation.

INTEGRATED TECHNIQUES FOR OVERCOMING PRE- AND POST-FERTILIZATION BARRIERS

In many interspecific and intergeneric crosses, integrated techniques to manipulate both pre- and post-fertilization barriers have been applied. In vitro pollination and fertilization is one such technique. Unlike the other techniques, which retain the zone of inhibition (stigma and style) and manipulate pollen germination and pollen tube growth to overcome pre-fertilization barriers, in vitro pollination brings pollen grains in direct contact with the ovules, and is, therefore, considered more effective (see Rangaswamy 1977).

Kameya and Hinata (1970) obtained hybrids between *Brassica chinensis* and *B. pikenensis* through pollination of excised, cultured ovules. This approach has not been successful in other systems, but a modified technique of in vitro pollination, termed placental pollination, has been successful. Placental pollination involves removal of the stigma, style and ovary wall, pollination of the ovules intact on the placenta, and culturing of the ovule mass on a suitable medium (Rangaswamy and Shivanna 1967). In this method ovules do not come in contact with the medium as only the pedicel is inserted into the medium. Keeping the pollen and ovules free from the moisture is critical for achieving success in many systems. Using this technique, hybrids have been produced between *Melandrium album* and *M. rubrum*, *M. album* and *Silene schafta*, and *M. album* and *Viscaria vulgaris* (Zenkteler 1990), *Nicotiana tabacum* x *N. oesoebila* (Reed and Collins 1978), *Petunia parodii* x *P. inflata, Zea mays* x *Z. mexicana* (Dhaliwal and King 1978). In some of

the crosses between species of *Melandrium* and *Datura*, although fertilization was achieved through placental pollination, proembryos aborted.

In *Brassica* and related taxa, a part of the ovary wall was removed to expose the ovules and pollen grains were deposited on the surface of the ovules; the pollinated ovary was implanted through the pedicel in the culture medium. Developing embryos were subsequently dissected from the enlarged ovules (15-21 DAP) and cultured. Through this integrated techniques of in vitro pollination and embryo rescue many hybrids were produced: *B. napus* x *B. campestris* (Zenkteler et al. 1987), *B. napus* x *Diplotaxis tenuifolia*, *B. napus* x *Moricandia arvensis*, *B. oleracea* x *D. tenuifolia* and *D. tenuifolia* x *B. napus* (Zenkteler 1990). By combining *in vitro* pollination, placenta culture and *in ovulo* embryo culture, incongruity barriers between *Nicotiana* species could be bypassed (DeVerna *et al.* 1987).

In *Lilium* various combinations of *in vitro* pollination (cut-style and grafted-style method) and embryo rescue (ovary, ovule and embryo culture, placental pollination, Fig. 4AB) were applied in order to control the whole fertilization process (Janson 1993; Van Tuyl et al. 1991). This resulted into a range of new interspecific hybrids (Van Creij et al. 1993). In crosses between L. longiflorum cultivars, ovaries with complete pistil were cultured three days before anthesis and were pollinated with aseptic pollen when the stigma was receptive (Fig. 4C). The ovaries were sliced 30-45 DAP and either the embryos were dissected from the ovules for embryo culture or mature seeds were harvested out of these *in vitro* pollinated cultured ovaries 60-90 DAP. In other combinations between *Lilium* species, an ovary-slice culture in combination with ovule culture was applied. Ovary slice culture started 5-8 DAP and the swollen ovules were excised 42 DAP and cultured on a medium until germination started (Fig. 4DE). The emerged plantlets were transferred to an embryo culture medium. Using this method, plantlets were obtained 52-54 DAP, whereas in the normal in vivo situation seedlings were not produced before 121 DAP (Table 2). Fig. 5 shows a diagram of alternative routes for application of *in vitro* culture methods for Lilium (Van Tuyl et al. 1991). Similar results were obtained from interspecific crosses in *Tulipa* and intergeneric crosses between Nerine and Amaryllis (Van Tuyl et al. 1990 1993). Recently in vitro fertilization has been achieved using isolated sperms and eggs; this aspect is discussed in Chapter...

TECHNIQUES FOR OVERCOMING F1-STERILITY

Barriers occurring after a successful embryo rescue are hybrid breakdown and F1-sterility. Hybrid breakdown results in the loss of the hybrid before flowering and is a result of the unbalanced new gene combinations. F1-sterility of interspecific hybrids is very common and among others may be the consequence of reduced chromosome pairing during meiosis. Breeding at polyploid levels is widely used in interspecific hybridization programmes of many ornamental crops such as *Alstroemeria*, *Chrysanthemum*, *Freesia*, *Gladiolus*, *Lilium*.

Chromosome doubling

Interspecific F1-hybrids may display sterility owing to lack of chromosome pairing during meiosis. This sterility hampers further breeding. Somatic (mitotic) chromosome doubling may induce homologous pairing of chromosomes and restores fertility (Hermsen 1984a,b). Colchicine was used successfully to produce fertile allotetraploids in many crops such as *Anigozanthos* (Griesbach 1990), *Arachis* (Singh 1985), *Lilium* (Asano 1982; Van Tuyl 1993), *Phaseolus* (Weilenmann *et al.* 1986), *Tagetes* (Bolz 1961). As an alternative for colchicine, oryzalin, a herbicide with anti-mitotic activity, was recently used successfully (Van Tuyl *et al.* 1992). Crossability between parents may be improved in the process of plant breeding by equalizing their functional ploidy level. Allopolyploids may function as fertile bridges for gene introgression into the cultivar assortment (Hermsen 1984a,b; Nanda Kumar and Shivanna 1993).

Application of 2n-gametes

Application of meiotic polyploidization in interspecific breeding programmes may be of great importance for the introgression of characters from diploids to tetraploids (Hermsen 1984a,b; Veilleux 1985; Ramanna 1992). In many species, polyploidization is the result of functional 2ngametes in one or both the parents. Such gametes may result from mechanisms of meiotic restitution. Normally, the frequency of 2n-gametes is low. Wide interspecific lily hybrids are usually completely male and female sterile. In rare cases, however, some fertile pollen is detected. In a group of more than 50 hybrids from the cross L. longiflorum x L. candidum, raised through embryo culture, only one hybrid showed pollen fertility of 25%. Meiosis in this hybrid was highly irregular and all pollen contained 2n-gametes (Van Tuyl 1989 1993). Comparable cases were found in lily by backcrossing oriental hybrids with 'Shikayama' x L. henryi and L. auratum x L. henryi (Asano 1982) resulting in triploid progenies. Backcrossing these triploids with L. auratum x L. henryi resulted in aneuploids with chromosome numbers intermediate between 36 and 48 (Van Tuyl 1989 1993). In contrast to the wide interspecific crosses, the cross between the Asiatic hybrid 'Enchantment' and the related *Lilium pumilum* produced fertile pollen. Meiotic studies of several of these hybrids showed, the formation of not only haploid pollen was formed but also relatively high percentages of 2n-pollen (Van Tuyl et al. 1989).

In *Alstroemeria* interspecific hybrids produce 2n-pollen of up to 60-70% in some of the genotypes of crosses between Chilean and Brazilian species (Ramanna, pers. comm.). In this crop sexual polyploidization appears to be a convenient system for breeders to produce triploid and tetraploid interspecific hybrids. For example, if a trispecific triploid generates 2n-gametes, in one step of unilateral sexual polyploidization, a tetraploid with four different genomes can be produced (Ramanna 1992).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

For many crops, especially for ornamentals, interspecific hybridization is a very effective method for the introduction of desired characters into breeding material and achieving crop improvement (Uhlinger 1982). The possibilities for interspecific crosses are nevertheless limited due to various crossing barriers. Many diverse techniques have been developed to overcome these barriers. A relatively underexposed aspect in this field of research is the use of genetic variation to overcome these barriers.

The application of *in vitro* pollination and other pollination methods combined with embryo rescue is a very powerful breeding approach. A more controlled environmental conditions during the processes of pollination, fertilization and embryo development would result in repeatability of experiments almost independent of the season. Conditions for each process can be optimized, and crossing barriers can be studied more systematically. *In vitro* methods make it possible to develop an integrated procedure for overcoming pre- and post-fertilization barriers.

In future tissue specific promotors along with RNAse genes may enable breeders to eliminate the recognition barriers in the stigma and style by preventing the formation of these organs. In this way Goldman *et al.* (1994) have developed a female sterile tobacco plant by eliminating the stigmatic tissue. This stigma does not permit pollen germination, but when pollen together with the stigmatic exudate is used for pollination, pollen germination occurs and normal fertilization and seed set take place. By this method, the whole pollen tube pathway and thus the recognition and barrier functions could be eliminated which opens a way for new applications. These techniques provide a very powerful tool to the plant breeders to transfer genes across species barriers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. R. J. Bino, J. G. T. Hermsen, M. C. G. van Creij, H. M. C. van Holsteijn and L. W. D. van Raamsdonk for critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Al Yasiri, A. & Coyne, D. F. (1964). Effects of growth regulators in delaying pod abscission and embryo abortion in the interspecific cross *Phaseolus vulgaris* x *P. acutifolius*. Crop Sci. 4: 433-435.

Alonso, L. C. and Kimber, G. (1980). A Haploid between *Agropyron junceum* and *Triticum aestivum*. Cereal Res. Commun. 8: 355-358.

Agnihotri, A., Gupta, V., Lakshmikumaran, M. S., Shivanna, K. R., Prakash, S. & Jagannathan, V. (1990). Production of *Eruca-Brassica* hybrids by embryo rescue. *Plant Breeding* 104:281-289.

Asano, Y. (1980a). Studies on crosses between distantly related species of lilies. *J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 49:114-118.

Asano, Y. (1980b). Studies on crosses between distantly related species of lilies. VI. Pollen-tube growth in interspecific crosses on *Lilium longiflorum*. *J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 49(3):392-396.

Asano, Y. (1985). Interspecific pollen-tube growth behavior and a model for the explanation in *Lilium. Plant Cell Incompatibility Newsletter* 17:4-7.

Asano, Y. (1982). Overcoming interspecific hybrid sterility in *Lilium*. *J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 51:75-81.

Asano, Y. & Myodo, H. (1977). Studies on crosses between distantly related species of lilies. I. For the intrastylar pollination technique. *J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 46(1):59-65.

Ascher, P. D. & Peloquin, S. J. (1966). Effects of floral aging on the growth of compatible and incompatible pollen tubes in *Lilium longiflorum. Am. J. Bot.* 53: 99-102.

Ascher, P. D. & Peloquin, S. J. (1968). Pollen tube growth and incompatibility following intra- and interspecific pollinations in *Lilium longiflorum*. *Amer. J. Bot.* 55:1230-1234.

Baker, L. R., Chen, N. C. & Park, H.G. (1975). Effect of an immunosuppressant on an interspecific cross of the genus *Vigna*. Hortic Sci. 10: 313.

Bates, L. S., Campos, V. A., Rodriguez, R. R. & Anderson, R. G. (1979). Progress towards novel cereal grains. Cereal Sci. Today 19: 283-284, 286.

Bates, L. S. & Deyoe, C. W. (1973). Wide hybridization and cereal improvement. Econ. Bot. 27: 401-412.

Blakeslee, A. F. (1945). Removing some of the barriers to crossability in plants. *Proc. Amer. Philosoph. Soc.* 89:561-574.

Bolz, G. (1961). Genetisch-züchterische Untersuchungen bei *Tagetes*. III. Artkreuzungen. *Z. Planzenzüchtg*. 46:169-211.

Bridgen, M. P., Langhans, R. & Graig, R. (1989). Biotechnological breeding techniques for *Alstroemeria*. *Herbertia* 45 (1&2):93-96.

Brown, C. R. & Adiwilaga, K. D. (1991). Use of rescue pollination to make a complex interspecific cross in potato. *American Potato Journal* 68:813-820.

Buitendijk, J. H., Ramanna, M. S. & Jacobsen, E. (1992). Micropropagation ability : towards a selection criterion in *Alstroemeria* breeding. *Acta Hortic.* 325:493-498.

Campenot, M. K., Zhang, G., Cutler, A. J. & Cass, D. D. (1992). *Zea mays* embryo sacs in culture. I. Plant regeneration from 1 day after pollination embryos. *Am. Journal of Bot.* 79(12):1368-1373.

Chen, N. C., Parrot, J. F., Jacobs, T., Baker, L. R. & Carlson, P. S. (1978). Interspecific hybridization of food grain legumes by unconventional methods of breeding. In: International mungbean symposium. AVRDC, Taiwan, pp 247-252.

Chen, L. & Adachi, T. (1992). Embryo abortion and efficient rescue in interspecific hybrids, *Lycopersicon esculentum* and the '*peruvianum*-complex'. *Japan. J. Breed.* 42:65-77.

Davies, A. J. S. (1957). Successful crossing in the genus *Lathyrus* through stylar amputation. *Nature* 180:61.

De Jeu, M. J., Sasbrink, H., Garriga Calderé, F. & Piket, J. (1992). Sexual reproduction biology of *Alstroemeria. Acta Hortic.* 325:571-575.

DeVerna, J. W., Myers, J. R. & Collins, G.B. (1987). Bypassing prefertilization barriers to hybridization in *Nicotiana* using *in vitro* pollination and fertilization. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 73:665-671.

Dhaliwal, A. S. & King, P. J. (1978). Direct pollination of *Zea mays* ovules in vitro with *Z. mays*, *Z. mexicana* and *Sorghum bicolor* pollen. Theor. Appl. Genet. 53: 43-46.

Dionne, L. A. (1958). A survey of methods for overcoming cross-incompatibility between certain species of the genus *Solanum*. Am. Potato J. 35: 422-423.

Emsweller, S. L. & Stuart, N. W. (1948). Use of growth regulating substances to overcome incompatibilities in *Lilium. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 51:581-589.

Fedak, G. 1978. Barley-wheat hybrids. In: Sanchez-Monge, E. Garcia-Omedo, F. (Eds). Interspecific hybridization in plant breeding. Proc. VIIth Congress Eucarpia, Escuela Technica Superior de Inginieros Agronomos, Madrid, pp 261-267.

Frankel, R. & Galun, E. (1977). Pollination mechanisms, reproduction and plant breeding. Berlin: Springer Verlag.

Goldman, M. H., Goldberg, R. B., Mariani, C. (1994). Female sterile tobacco plants are produced by stigma specific cell ablations. EMBO J. 13:2976-2984.

Griesbach, R. J. (1990). A fertile tetraploid *Anigozanthos* hybrid produced by *in vitro* colchicine treatment. *HortScience* 25(7):802-803.

Gray, D. J., Mortensen, J. A., Benton, C. M., Durham, R. E. & Moore, G. A. (1990). Ovule culture to obtain progeny from hybrid seedless bunch grapes. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 115(6):1019-1024.

Gundimeda, H. R., Prakash, S. & Shivanna, K. R. (1992). Intergeneric hybrids between *Enathrocarpus lyratus*, a wild species, and crop brassicas. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 83: 655-662

Hännig, E. (1904). Zur Physiologie pflanzenlicher Embryonen. I. Über die Kultur von *Cruciferen*-Embryonen außerhalb des Embryosacks. *Z. Bot*. 62:45-80.

Harberd, A. (1969). A simple effective embryo culture technique for *Brassica. Euphytica* 18: 425-429.

Hermsen, J. G. T. (1984a). Nature, evolution, and breeding of polyploids. *IOWA State J. Res.* 58(4):411-420.

Hermsen, J. G. T. (1984b). Some fundamental considerations on interspecific hybridization. *IOWA State J. Res.* 58(4):461-474.

Herrick, J. F., Murray, B. G. & Hammett, K. R. W. (1993). Barriers preventing hybridization of *Lathyrus odoratus* with *L. chloranthus* and *L. chrysanthus*. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science* 21(2):115-121.

Hogenboom, N. G. (1973). A model for incongruity in intimate partner relationships. *Euphytica* 22:219-233.

Imanishi, S. (1988). Efficient ovule culture for the hybridization of *Lycopersicon esculentum* and *L. peruvianum*, *L. glandulosum*. *Japan. J. Breed*. 38:1-9.

Inomata, N. (1980). Production of interspecific hybrids in *Brassica campestris X B. oleracea* by culture *in vitro* of excised ovaries. I. Development of excised ovaries in the crosses of various cultivars. *Japan. J. Genetics* 53:161-173.

Ishizaka, H. & Uematsu, J. (1992). Production of interspecific hybrids of *Cyclamen persicum* Mill. and *C. hederifolium* Aiton. by ovule culture. *Japan. J. Breed.* 42:353-366.

Islam, A. K. M. R., Shepherd, K. W., Sparrow, D. H. B. (1975). Addition of individual barley chromosomes to wheat. In: Barley genetics III, pp 260-270.

Islam, A. S. (1964). A rare hybrid combination through application of hormone and embryo culture.

Nature (London) 201: 320.

Iwai, S., Kishi, C., Nakata, K. & Kawashima, N. (1986). Production of *Nicotiana tabacum x Nicotiana acuminata* hybrid by ovule culture. *Plant Cell Reports* 5:403-404.

Janson, J., Reinders, M. C., Van Tuyl, J. M. & Keijzer, C. J. (1993). Pollen tube growth in *Lilium longiflorum* following different pollination techniques and flower manipulations. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 42(4):461-472.

Janson, J. (1993). Placental pollination in *Lilium longiflorum* Thunb. *Plant Science* 99:105-115.

Kameya, T. & Hinata, K. (1970). Test tube fertilization of excised ovules in *Brassica*. Japan. J. Breed. 20: 253-260.

Kanoh, K., Hayashi, M., Serizawa, Y. & Konishi, T. (1988). Production of interspecific hybrids between *Lilium longiflorum* and *L. x elegance* by ovary slice culture. *Japan. J. Breed.* 38:278-282.

Kerlan, M. C., Chèvre, A. M., Eber, F., Baranger, A. & Renard, M. (1992). Risk assessment of outcrossing of transgenic rapeseed to related species: I. Interspecific hybrid production under optimal conditions with emphasis on pollination and fertilization. *Euphytica* 62:145-153.

Kruse, A. (1974). A 2,4-D treatment prior to pollination eliminates the haplontic (gametic) sterility in wide intergeneric crosses with 2-rowed barley, *Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *distichum* as maternal parent. Hereditas 78:319.

Khush, G. S. & Brar, D. S. (1992). Overcoming barriers in hybridization. In *Distant Hybridization of Crop Plants*, eds. G. Kalloo & J. B. Chowdhury, pp. 47-62. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer Verlag.

Larter, E. & Chaubey, C. (1965). Use of exogenous growth substances in promoting pollen tube growth and fertilization in barley-rye crosses. Can. J. Genet. Cytol. 7: 511-518.

Laurie, D. A. & Bennett, M. D. (1989). Genetic variation in *Sorghum* for the inhibition of maize pollen tube growth. *Annals of Botany* 64:675-681.

Liedl, B. E. & Anderson, N. O. (1993). Reproductive barriers: identification, uses, and circumvention. *Plant Breeding Reviews* 11:11-154.

Monnier, M. & Lagriffol, J. (1985). Development of embryos in *Capsella* ovules cultured *in vitro*. In *Experimental manipulation of ovule tissues*, ed. G. P Chapman, S. H. Mantell, R. W Daniels, pp. 117-134. London, New York: Longman.

Moura, I. & Carneiro, M. F. N. (1992). *In vitro* culture of immature embryos of *Howea forsteriana* Becc. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 31:207-209.

Mujeeb-Kazi, A. (1981). Triticum timopheevii x Secale cereale crossability. J. Hered. 72: 227-228.

Mujeeb-Kazi, A. & Rodriguez, R. (1980). Some intergeneric hybrids in the Triticeae. Cereal Res. Commun. 8: 469-475.

Murashige, T. & Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol. Plant.* 15:473-497.

Myodo, H. (1963). Experimental studies on the sterility of some *Lilium* species. *Journ. Fac. Agr. Univ. Sapporo* 52:70-122.

Nandakumar, P. B. A., Prakash, S. & Shivanna, K. R. (1988). Wide hybridization in crop Brassicas. In: *Sexual Reproduction in Higher Plants*, eds M. Cresti, P. Gori and E. Pacini, pp. 95-100. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer Verlag.

Nandakumar, P. B. A. & Shivanna, K. R. (1991). In vitro multiplication of sterile interspecific hybrid, *Brassica fruticulosa* x *B. campestris*. *Plant Cell, Tissue, Organ Culture* 26: 17-22.

Nandakumar, P. B. A. & Shivanna, K. R. (1993). Intergeneric hybridization between *Diplotaxis siettiana* and crop brassicas for production of alloplasmic lines. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 85: 770-776.

Neal, C. A. & Topoleski, L. D. (1983). Effects of the basal medium on growth of immature tomato hybrids *in vitro*. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 110:869-873.

Nitsch, J. P. & Nitsch C. (1969). Haploid plants from pollen grains. Science 163:85-86.

Nomura, Y. & Oosawa, K. (1990). Production of interspecific hybrids between *Allium chinense* and *A. thunbergii* by *in ovulo* embryo culture. *Japan. J. Breed*. 40:531-535.

Ohri, D. & Khoshoo, T. N. (1983). Cytogenetics of garden gladiolus, III. Hybridization. *Z. Pflanzenzüchtg*. 91:46-60.

Okazaki, K., Umada, Y., Urashima, O., Kawada, J., Kunishige, M. & Murakami, K. (1992). Interspecific Hybrids of *Lilium longiflorum* and *L x formolongi* with *L. rubellum* and *L. japonicum* through embryo culture. *J. Jap. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 60:997-1002.

Okazaki, K. & Murakami, K. (1992). Effects of flowering time (in forcing culture), stigma excision, and high temperature on overcoming of self incompatibility in tulip. *J. Jap. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 61:405-411.

Pickersgill, B. (1993). Interspecific hybridization by sexual means. In: *Plant Breeding*, ed. M. D. Hayward, N. O. Bosemark, & I. Romagosa, pp. 63-78, London: Chapman & Hall.

Pittarelli, G. W. & Stavely, J. R. (1975). Direct hybridization of Nicotiana repanda x N. tabacum. J. Hered. 66: 281-284.

Przywara, L., White, D. W. R., Sanders, P. M. & Maher, D. (1989). Interspecific hybridization of *Trifolium repens* with *T. hybridum* using *in ovulo* embryo and embryo culture. *Ann. Bot.* 64:613-624.

Raghavan, V. (1986). Experimental embryology of vascular plants. London: Academic Press.

Raghavan, V. & Srivastava, P. S. (1982). Embryo culture. In *Experimental embryology of vascular plants*, Ed. B. M. Johri, pp.

195-230. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Ramanna, M. S. (1992). The role of sexual polyploidization in the origins of horticultural crops: Alstroemeria as an example. In: Gametes with somatic chromosome number in the evolution and breeding of polyploid polysomic species: achievements and perspectives 2nd Int. Symp. Chromosome Engineering in Plants, Eds. Mariani, A. & Tavoletti, S. pp. 83-89.

Rangaswamy, N. S. (1977). Applications of in vitro pollination and in vitro fertilization. In: Reinert, J. Bajaj, Y.P.S. (eds). Applied and fundamental aspects of plant cell, tissue and organ culture. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, pp. 412-425.

Rangaswamy, N. S. & Shivanna, K. R. (1967). Induction of gametic compatibility and seed formation in axenic cultures of a diploid self-incompatible species *Petunia*. Nature (London) 216: 937-939.

Reiser, W., Ziessler, C. M. (1989). Die Überwindung postgamer Inkompatibilität bei *Freesia*-Hybriden. *Tag.-Ber., Akad. Landwirtsch. -Wiss.* DDR, Berlin 281:135-138.

Reed, S. M. & Collins, G. B. (1978). Interspecific hybrids in *Nicotiana* through in vitro culture of fertilized ovules. J. Hered. 69: 311-315.

Sabja, A. M., Mok, D. W. S., Mok, M. C. (1990). Seed and embryo growth in pod cultures of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *P. vulgaris x P. acutifolius. HortScience* 25(10):1288-1291.

Sarla, N. & Raut, R.N. (1988). Synthesis of *Brassica carinata* from *Brassica x Brassica oleracea* hybrids obtained by ovary culture. Theor. Appl. Genet. 76:846-849.

Sastri, D. C. (1985). Incompatibility in Angiosperms: significance in crop improvement. Adv. Appl. Biol. 10: 71-111.

Sastri, D. C., Moss, J. P. & Nalini, M. S. (1983). The use of in vitro methods in groundnut improvement. In: Sen, S.K., Giles, K.L. (eds) Proc. Intl. Symp. on Plant Cell culture in crop improvement, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 365-370.

Sastri, D. C., Nalini, M. S. & Moss, J. P. (1981). Tissue culture and prospects of crop improvement

in *Arachis hypogaea* and other oilseeds crops. In: Rao, A.N. (ed.) Proc. Symp. on tissue culture of economically important plant in developing countries. Natl. Univ. Singapore, Singapore, pp. 42-57.

Shivanna, K. R. (1982). Pollen-pistil interaction and control of fertilization. In *Experimental embryology of vascular plants*, Ed. B. M. Johri, pp. 131-174. Berlin: Springer-verlag.

Singh, A. K. (1985). Utilization of wild relatives in the genetic improvement of *Arachis hypogaea* L. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 72:1654-169.

Singsit, C. & Hanneman Jr., R. E. (1991). Rescuing abortive inter-EBN potato hybrids through double pollination and embryo culture. *Plant Cell Reports* 9:475-478.

Stebbins, G. L. (1958). The inviability, weakness, and sterility of interspecific hybrids. *Adv. Genet.* 9:147-215.

Straathof, T. P., Van Tuyl, J. M., Keijzer, C. J., Wilms, H. J. Kwakkenbos, A. A. M. & Van Diën, M. P. (1987). Overcoming post-fertilization barriers in *Lilium* by ovary- and ovule culture. *Plant Cell Incompatibility Newsletter* 19:69-74.

Subrahmanyam, N. C. (1979). Haploidy from *Hordeum* interspecific crosses. Part 2. Dihaploids of *H. brachyantherum* and *H. depressum*. Theor. Appl. Genet. 55: 139-144.

Swaminathan, M. S. & Murti, B. R. (1957). One-way incompatibility in some species crosses in the genus *Nicotiana*. Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed. 17: 23-26.

Takeshita, M., Kato, M. & Tokumasu, S. (1980). Ovule culture in the production of intergeneric or interspecific hybrids in *Brassica* and *Raphanus*. *Japan. J. Genetics* 55:373-387.

Tiara, T. & Lartyer, E. N. (1977). Effects of E-amino-n-caproic acid and L-lysine on the development of hybrid embryo of *Triticale* (x-*Triticale-Secale*). Can. J. Bot. 55: 2330-2334.

Uhlinger, R. D. (1982). Wide crosses in herbaceous perennials. HortScience 17(4):570-574.

Van Creij, M. G. M., Van Raamsdonk, L. W. D. & Van Tuyl, J. M. (1993). Wide interspecific

hybridization of *Lilium*: preliminary results of the application of pollination and embryo-rescue methods. *The lily yearbook of the North American Lily Society* 43:28-37.

Van Eijk, J. P., Van Raamsdonk, L. W. D., Eikelboom, W. & Bino, R. J. (1991). Interspecific crosses between *Tulipa gesneriana* cultivars and wild *Tulipa* species - A Survey. *Sex. Plant Reprod.* 4(1):1-5.

Van Tuyl, J. M. (1989). Research on mitotic and meiotic polyploidization in lily breeding. *Herbertia* 45(1 & 2):97-103.

Van Tuyl, J. M. (1993). Survey of research on mitotic and meiotic polyploidization at CPRO-DLO. *The lily yearbook of the North American Lily Society* 43:10-18.

Van Tuyl, J. M., Bino, R.J. & Custers, J.B.M. (1990). Application of *in vitro* pollination, ovary culture, ovule culture and embryo rescue techniques in breeding of *Lilium*, *Tulipa* and *Nerine*. In *Integration of in vitro techniques in ornamental plant breeding*, ed. J. de Jong, pp. 86-97. Wageningen: CPO.

Van Tuyl, J. M., Marcucci, M. C. & Visser, T. (1982). Pollen and pollination experiments. VII. The effect of pollen treatment and application method on incompatibility and incongruity in *Lilium*. *Euphytica* 31:613-619.

Van Tuyl, J. M., Meijer, H. & Van Diën, M.P. (1992). The use of oryzalin as an alternative for colchicine in-vitro chromosome doubling of *Lilium* and *Nerine*. *Acta Hortic*. 325:625-630.

Van Tuyl, J. M., Straathof, T. P., Bino, R. J. & Kwakkenbos, A. A. M. (1988). Effect of three pollination methods on embryo development and seed set in intra- and interspecific crosses between seven *Lilium* species. *Sex. Plant Reprod.* 1:119-123.

Van Tuyl, J. M., De Vries, J. N., Bino, R. J. & Kwakkenbos, A. A. M. (1989). Identification of 2npollen producing interspecific hybrids of *Lilium* using flow cytometry. *Cytologia* 54:737-745. Van Tuyl, J. M., Van Creij, M. C. M., Eikelboom, W., Kerckhoffs, D. M. F. J. & Meijer, B. (1993). New genetic variation in the *Lilium* and *Tulipa* assortment by wide hybridization. In *Proceedings XVIIth Eucarpia Symp.*, ed. T. Schiva & A. Mercuri, pp. 141-149, Italy, Sanremo, Istituto sperimentale per la Floricoltura.

Van Tuyl J. M., Van Diën, M. P., Van Creij, M. G. M., Van Kleinwee, T. C. M., Franken, J. & Bino, R. J. (1991). Application of *in vitro* pollination, ovary culture, ovule culture and embryo rescue for overcoming incongruity barriers in interspecific *Lilium* crosses. *Plant Science* 74:115-126.

Veilleux, R. (1985). Diploid and polyploid gametes in crop plants: Mechanisms of formation and utilization in plant breeding. *Plant Breed. Rev.* 3:253-288.

Weilenmann, E., Baudoin, J. P. & Maréchal, R. (1986). Obtention d'allopolyploides fertiles chez le croisement entre *Phaseolus vulgaris* et *Phaseolus filiformis*. *Bull. Rech. Agron. Gembloux* 21(1):35-46.

Wietsma, W. A., De Jong, K. Y. & Van Tuyl, J. M. (1994). Overcoming prefertilization barriers in interspecific crosses of *Fritillaria imperialis* and *F. raddeana*. *Plant Cell Incompatibility Newsletter* 26:89-92.

Williams, E. G., Maheswaran, G. & Hutschinson, J. F. (1987). Embryo and ovule culture in crop improvement. *Plant Breeding Reviews* 5:181-236.

Willing, R. R. & Pryor, L. D. (1976). Interspecific hybridization in poplar. Theor. Appl. Genet. 47: 141-151.

Zenkteler, M., Maheshwaran, G. & Willims, E, G. (1987). In vitro placental pollination in *B. campestris* and *B. napus. J. Plant Physiol.* 128: 245-250.

Zenkteler, M. (1990). *In vitro* fertilization and wide hybridization in higher plants. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 9:267-279

Tables and table captions

Table 1. Effect of pollination technique on the number of seeds. A comparison between three types of pollinations: GSM (grafted-style method), CSM (cut-style method) and N (normal pollination) in a compatible cross between *L. longiflorum* 'Gelria' and *L. longiflorum* 'Albivetta'.

Pollination technique	ovarie	es f	Numbe fruits	nur	nber	fru	Seeds pei it	r	
pollinated developed of seeds									
GSM exudate	2	26	1	1	5	15.0			
GSM straw ex	kudate	26	7		96	13	3.7		
GSM straw m	edium	26	5		36	7	7.2		
CSM	25	19)	85	4.	5			
Ν	25	19	45	1	23.7	,			

Table 2. Comparison of the number of plantlets obtained per ovary from the incongruous combinations *L. longiflorum* x *L. henryi* and *L. longiflorum* x *L. dauricum* using three pollination methods (GSM= grafted-style method, CSM=cut-style method and N=normal pollination). As a control, the intraspecific cross *L. longiflorum* cv 'Gelria' x cv 'White American' was studied both in the climate room (cl) and in the greenhouse (gr). Interspecific pollinations were carried out in the climate room; 8 DAP, ovaries were cultured; 42 DAP, ovules were excised and the swelling score was determined (on a scale from 1-9 in which 1=no swelling, 9=very strong swelling). Subsequently, swollen ovules were cultured; plantlet development was scored from 10 to more than 40 weeks after start of ovary culture. (nd=not determined).

L. longiflorum Pollination Number Swelling Number Plantlets hybrids method ovaries score of ovules per ovary cultured ovules cultured

Intraspecific	N (gr)	41	nd	nd	143
Intraspecific	N (cl)	24	nd	nd	19
x L. henryi	N (cl)	10	4.8	2206	0
x L. henryi	CSM (cl)	10	5.6	2622	2.1
x L. henryi	GSM (cl)	10	3.2	1195	0.1
x L. dauricun	n N (cl)	13	3.9	3475	0
x L. dauricun	n CSM (cl)	14	5.1	456	8 3.5
x L. dauricun	n GSM (cl)	14	2.7	244	6 4.8

Figure captions

Fig. 1. The percentage of ovules with a pollen tube in the micropyle (•, experiment with 69 flowers, solid lines represent the standard deviation, δ_{n-1}) and the percentage of seeds with an embryo (\wedge , experiment with 30 flowers, dotted lines represent δ_{n-1}) achieved after cut-style pollination in *Lilium longiflorum* carried out with compatible pollen and at different style lengths: stigma present only at 100% (non-cut).

Fig. 2a. GSM (grafted style method of pollination), (A) Style of Oriental hybrid 'Star Gazer' compatibly pollinated with pollen of another Oriental hybrid and attached to an ovary of the Asiatic hybrid 'Esther' and, (B) Style of 'Esther', compatibly pollinated with pollen of 'Connecticut King' and attached to an ovary of the Oriental hybrid 'Star Gazer' Bar= 1 cm.

Fig. 2b. Detail of graft end from situation (A) in Fig. 2a. Both style ends are slightly separated to show the bundle of pollen tubes growing through the graft. Bar= 0.1 cm.

Fig. 3A. Ovule culture in *Alstroemeria* 35 DAP. Transverse section showing embryo development (EM) without the development of cellular endosperm in an incongruous cross between a tetraploid cultivar and *A. aurea*. Bar= 400 im.

Fig. 3B. Ovule culture in *Alstroemeria* 42 DAP. The embryo emerges out of the ovule; histological investigation in an incongruous cross between a tetraploid cultivar and *A. aurea*. Bar= 200 im.

Fig. 3C. Ovule culture in *Alstroemeria* 42 DAP. Germination *in vitro* of an ovule in an incongruous cross between *A. pelegrina x A. aurea*.

Fig. 4A. *In vitro* pollinated ovaries from *L. longiflorum* 'Gelria' 10 days after cut-style (left) and stigmatal pollination (right) with an Asiatic hybrid lily.

Fig. 4B. Placental pollination of *L. longiflorum* 'Gelria' with the oriental hybrid 'Star Gazer': pollen directly pollinated on placentas, some swollen ovules can be observed.

Fig. 4C. Asiatic hybrid lily 'Enchantment' ovary slices, 4 weeks after compatible pollination, on MS medium supplemented with 9% sucrose and 1 mg/l NAA, in continuous presence of anthers. Note

the swollen ovules inside the ovary slices. Bar= 1 cm.

Fig. 4D. Seedling of a directly germinated ovule of the Asiatic hybrid lily 'Enchantment', 12 weeks after compatible pollination, on MS medium supplemented with 5% sucrose and 0.1 mg/l NAA. Bar= 0.5 cm.

Fig. 4E. Hybrid plantlet with bulb formation, from an ovule obtained after the interspecific cross *L. longiflorum x L. dauricum*, 40 weeks after pollination, ready to be transferred to soil. Bar= 1 cm.

Fig. 5. Diagram of alternative routes for application of *in vitro* culture methods. Numbers represent minimal number of days in a compatible intraspecific situation (crosses between different *L. longiflorum* cultivars). Note that in the normal *in vivo* situation, seedlings are produced after 121 DAP, whereas in the embryo rescue and ovary-ovule route, plantlets emerge from 52-54 DAP.

Figures 1-2

Figures 3-4

Figure 5.

